

This site uses cookies. More info   Close By continuing to browse the site you are agreeing to our use of cookies. [Find out more here](#) Close

Analysis

Should people at low risk of cardiovascular disease take a statin?

BMJ 2013; 347 doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f6123> (Published 22 October 2013) Cite this as: BMJ 2013;347:f6123

- [Article](#)
- [Related content](#)
- [Metrics](#)
- [Responses](#)
- [Peer review](#)
- 

Data supplement

- **Access the original submission and updated versions of this article, showing tracked changes, plus peer review feedback**

Access the original submission and updated versions of this article, showing tracked changes, plus peer review feedback

Files in this Data Supplement:

- [Peer review by Ruijter](#) - Peer review by Ruijter
- [Peer review by Smeeth](#) - Peer review by Smeeth
- [Original submission: Should people at low risk of cardiovascular disease take a statin?](#)
- [Should people at low risk of cardiovascular disease take a statin? Revised submission after peer review](#)
- [Should people at low risk of cardiovascular disease take a statin: Version 1 of in-house edited article, showing tracked changes](#)
- [Should people at low risk of cardiovascular disease take a statin: Version 2 of in-house edited article, showing tracked changes](#)
- [Decision letter 1](#)
- [Decision letter 2](#)
-

Related articles

Research

[Comparative effectiveness of exercise and drug interventions on mortality outcomes: metaepidemiological study](#)

Published: 01 October 2013; BMJ 347 doi:10.1136/bmj.f5577

Research

[Unintended effects of statins in men and women in England and Wales: population based cohort study using the QResearch database](#)

Published: 20 May 2010; BMJ 340 doi:10.1136/bmj.c2197

Editor's Choice

[Statins for all over 50? No](#)

Published: 23 October 2013; BMJ 347 doi:10.1136/bmj.f6412

News

[New US prevention guidelines focus on overall risk of cardiovascular disease](#)

Published: 14 November 2013; BMJ 347 doi:10.1136/bmj.f6858

Letter

[Increasing the use of statins in people at low cardiovascular risk is difficult](#)

Published: 19 November 2013; BMJ 347 doi:10.1136/bmj.f6901

Letter

[Editor's reply to Smith](#)

Published: 19 November 2013; BMJ 347 doi:10.1136/bmj.f6859

News

[Majority of panelists on controversial new cholesterol guideline have current or recent ties to drug manufacturers](#)

Published: 21 November 2013; BMJ 347 doi:10.1136/bmj.f6989

Practice

[Statins for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease](#)

Published: 27 January 2014; BMJ 348 doi:10.1136/bmj.g280

Letter

[Authors' reply to Davis and Dietrich](#)

Published: 26 February 2014; BMJ 348 doi:10.1136/bmj.g1792

Letter

[Authors' reply to Huffman and colleagues](#)

Published: 26 February 2014; BMJ 348 doi:10.1136/bmj.g1523

Letter

[Huffman and colleagues' response to Abramson and colleagues' article on statins in low risk people](#)

Published: 26 February 2014; BMJ 348 doi:10.1136/bmj.g1520

Editor's Choice

[Who are we treating?](#)

Published: 06 March 2014; BMJ 348 doi:10.1136/bmj.g1954

News

[New analysis fuels debate on merits of prescribing statins to low risk people](#)

Published: 26 March 2014; BMJ 348 doi:10.1136/bmj.g2370

Correction

[Should people at low risk of cardiovascular disease take a statin?](#)

Published: 15 May 2014; BMJ 348 doi:10.1136/bmj.g3329

Editorial

[Adverse effects of statins](#)

Published: 15 May 2014; BMJ 348 doi:10.1136/bmj.g3306

Letter

[Muscular adverse effects are common with statins](#)

Published: 11 June 2014; BMJ 348 doi:10.1136/bmj.g3724

Letter

[\(Mis\)interpreting studies on the adverse effects of statins](#)

Published: 11 June 2014; BMJ 348 doi:10.1136/bmj.g3652

Letter

[The BMJ statins papers misrepresent the facts](#)

Published: 18 June 2014; BMJ 348 doi:10.1136/bmj.g4030

Letter

[Retraction of statins article is not in the public interest: better characterisation of benefits and risks is crucial](#)

Published: 18 June 2014; BMJ 348 doi:10.1136/bmj.g4028

News

[Two papers in The BMJ with statin side effect errors shouldn't be retracted, independent panel concludes](#)

Published: 04 August 2014; BMJ 349 doi:10.1136/bmj.g4993

Editorial

[Statins and The BMJ](#)

Published: 07 August 2014; BMJ 349 doi:10.1136/bmj.g5038

Feature

[Risks in the balance: the statins row](#)

Published: 07 August 2014; BMJ 349 doi:10.1136/bmj.g5007

Editor's Choice

[The path of non-conformity](#)

Published: 07 August 2014; BMJ 349 doi:10.1136/bmj.g5036

Analysis

[Report of the independent panel considering the retraction of two articles in The BMJ](#)

Published: 15 August 2014; BMJ 349 doi:10.1136/bmj.g5176

Views & Reviews

[Electronic health records may threaten blinding in trials of statins](#)

Published: 20 August 2014; BMJ 349 doi:10.1136/bmj.g5239

See more

News

[Novartis must face kickback lawsuit over "sham" speaker events](#)

Published: 06 October 2014; BMJ 349 doi:10.1136/bmj.g6044

News

[India's health assurance plan will offer free medicines, diagnostics, insurance, and traditional medicine](#)

Published: 03 October 2014; BMJ 349 doi:10.1136/bmj.g6023

Research

[Industry sponsorship bias in research findings: a network meta-analysis of LDL cholesterol reduction in randomised trials of statins](#)

Published: 03 October 2014; BMJ 349 doi:10.1136/bmj.g5741

News

[Doctors need to fully investigate cause of blackouts, says NICE](#)

Published: 03 October 2014; BMJ 349 doi:10.1136/bmj.g6016

Editor's Choice

[If you read only one thing this week](#)

Published: 02 October 2014; BMJ 349 doi:10.1136/bmj.g5974

Cited by...

- A Statin a Day to Keep the Doctor Away? Comparing Aspirin and Statins for Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease
 - [Abstract](#)
 - [Fulltext](#)
 - [PDF](#)
- Electronic health records may threaten blinding in trials of statins
 - [Abstract](#)
 - [Fulltext](#)
 - [PDF](#)
- Report of the independent panel considering the retraction of two articles in The BMJ
 - [Abstract](#)
 - [Fulltext](#)
 - [PDF](#)
- Statins and The BMJ
 - [Abstract](#)
 - [Fulltext](#)
 - [PDF](#)
- Risks in the balance: the statins row
 - [Abstract](#)
 - [Fulltext](#)
 - [PDF](#)
- Two papers in The BMJ with statin side effect errors shouldn't be retracted, independent panel concludes
 - [Abstract](#)
 - [Fulltext](#)
 - [PDF](#)
- A chasm of misunderstanding: The widening gap between public perception and scientific consensus
 - [Abstract](#)
 - [Fulltext](#)
 - [PDF](#)
- Six professors back NICE guidance on extending use of statins
 - [Abstract](#)
 - [Fulltext](#)
 - [PDF](#)
- Retraction of statins article is not in the public interest: better characterisation of benefits and risks is crucial
 - [Abstract](#)

[Fulltext](#)

[PDF](#)

- The BMJ statins papers misrepresent the facts

[Abstract](#)

[Fulltext](#)

[PDF](#)

- Muscular adverse effects are common with statins

[Abstract](#)

[Fulltext](#)

[PDF](#)

- (Mis)interpreting studies on the adverse effects of statins

[Abstract](#)

[Fulltext](#)

[PDF](#)

- Adverse effects of statins

[Abstract](#)

[Fulltext](#)

[PDF](#)

- New analysis fuels debate on merits of prescribing statins to low risk people

[Abstract](#)

[Fulltext](#)

[PDF](#)

- Davis and Dietrich's response to Abramson and colleagues' article on statins in low risk people

[Abstract](#)

[Fulltext](#)

[PDF](#)

- Huffman and colleagues' response to Abramson and colleagues' article on statins in low risk people

[Abstract](#)

[Fulltext](#)

[PDF](#)

- Authors' reply to Davis and Dietrich

[Abstract](#)

[Fulltext](#)

[PDF](#)

- Authors' reply to Huffman and colleagues

[Abstract](#)

[Fulltext](#)

[PDF](#)

- Statins for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease

[Abstract](#)[Fulltext](#)[PDF](#)

- Editor's reply to Smith

[Abstract](#)[Fulltext](#)[PDF](#)

- Increasing the use of statins in people at low cardiovascular risk is difficult

[Abstract](#)[Fulltext](#)[PDF](#)

- [Tweet](#) < 118
- [Like](#) < 285
- [g+1](#) < 4

Article tools

[PDF](#) [13 responses](#)

- [Respond to this article](#)
- [Data supplement](#)
- [Print](#)
- [Alerts & updates](#)

Article alerts

Please note: your email address is provided to the journal, which may use this information for marketing purposes.

Log in or register:

Username *

Password *

[Register for alerts](#)

- [If you have registered for alerts, you should use your registered email address as your username](#)
- [Citation tools](#)

Download this article to citation manager

Abramson John D, Rosenberg Harriet G, Jewell Nicholas, Wright James M. Should people at low risk of

cardiovascular disease take a statin? BMJ 2013; 347:f6123

- BibTeX (win & mac)
- EndNote (tagged)
- EndNote 8 (xml)
- RefWorks Tagged (win & mac)
- RIS (win only)
- Medlars

[Download](#)
[Download](#)
[Download](#)
[Download](#)
[Download](#)
[Download](#)

Help

If you are unable to import citations, please contact technical support for your product directly (links go to external sites):

- [EndNote](#)
- [ProCite](#)
- [Reference Manager](#)
- [RefWorks](#)
- [Zotero](#)
- [Request permissions](#)

-  [Author citation](#)

- [Articles by John D Abramson](#)
- [Add article to BMJ Portfolio](#)
- [Articles by Pamela C Rosenberg](#)
- [Articles by Nicholas Jewell](#)
- [Articles by James M Wright](#)

[Email to a friend](#)

Forward this page

Thank you for your interest in spreading the word about The BMJ.

NOTE: We only request your email address so that the person you are recommending the page to knows that you wanted them to see it, and that it is not junk mail. We do not capture any email address.

Username *

Your Email *

Send To *

You are going to email the following [Should people at low risk of cardiovascular disease take a statin?](#)
 Your Personal Message

Send

Topics

- [Drugs: cardiovascular system](#)
- [Stroke](#)
- [Health promotion](#)
- [Epidemiologic studies](#)
- [Ischaemic heart disease](#)

[more](#)



Who is talking about this article?



-  Picked up by **12** news outlets
-  Blogged by **14**
-  Tweeted by **170**
-  On **9** Facebook pages
-  **22** readers on Mendeley
-  **0** readers on Connotea
-  **1** readers on CiteULike

[See more details](#)



[Back to top](#)